G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1907
LA

File No. 374

Serial Nos. 1—

Papers Filed.

Month June

SUBJECT.

Pension to Doddarangappa Vth
Belgutti Family

PREVIOUS REFERENCES. LATER REFERENCES.

General and Revenue Secretariat. SRA File No. 374 of 1907-08

Pollegar Pension papers of the Bilgutte family have been put up for reference in some connection and ending before enquiry for orders from be awaited?

mention dairy No. MR/1872 (With D. No. 2440 on 10.2.08).

It is in connection with that question that the present petn is making representation. Let me see the file & see what the man says --

21/2/08

To Rt. Supdt. Dwarf
Put for kindly returning the file
21/2/08 MR 24/2

The file is sent back

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

SA File No. 374 of 1907-08

Serial No.

Petitioner - Doddarangappa - prays that the pension in the Belagutti family should be equitably redistributed amongst its members as against the alleged present disproportionate allotment or he be granted his father's - Dasappa's - pension, which it is represented was improperly transferred and continued in the year 1820 AD in the name of Mariyappa - a sister's son of the late Dasappa.

In 1899 this contention of the petitioner came up before Govt when the claim of Gopalsamy was under consideration of Govt and as a result of the investigation held in the matter by the Khazana Bakshi it was reported that "the parties have come to a compromise" viz that the three stipendiaries (the then Baramanders in the Belagutti & Bolegar family) should pay Rs 10/- per month to Doddarangappa x x". This was deemed a private family arrangement regarding which Govt can pass no orders"

Now it is after a lapse of some eight years since the dispute was

Serial No.

that Dodda rangappa - the petitioner - has ~~thought fit to~~ revived his claim, especially at the juncture when a Succession to the pension of a deceased Stipendiary - Gopalsawmi - is ~~under~~ the engaging the attention of Govt.

In the present petition Dodda Rangappa asserts that he assented in 1899 to receive the ten rupees with a view to establish the fact of his Kinship in the family which itself had then been ~~challenged~~ questioned.

In the detailed report furnished by the Khazana Bakshi all the points now urged on the consideration of Govt by the petitioner have gone into and he observes that "Dodda rangappa with the two others were dependents of the Stipendiaries (of the Belagutti family) and that they were related to them in some way not clearly and satisfactorily explained."

The Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury in submitting this recommendation for the continuance of the Stipend of the late Gopalsawmi in the name of his infant son (Puttu sawmy) ~~referred~~ refers to the claim preferred by the petitioner before the Deputy

G.R. S. 12]
General and Revenue
cretariat.

SA File No. 374 of 1907 08

Serial No.

Commissioner Shimoga Dt. Remarks.
"that Doddarangappa has absolut
no claim to any pension. His content
is untenable now"
Submitted
N 27/2
29

The petitioner seeks to
set aside the order passed in 18
transferring the pension enjoye
his father Dasappa to Dasap
nephew mariappa. This tran
he alleges, was effected on a fa
statement by Dasappa's broth
that he was dead. If Govt are
inclined to reconsider the orde
almost a century old, it will
be necessary to ascertain the exa
circumstances under which
Dasappa Dasappa's transfer pens
was transferred. There are no
papers in the file which throw
any light on the matter. The s
Asstn Ty Officer may be aske
if he has any.

Another point which the pe
has to prove is that he is Dasappa
son.

Draft put up
N 29/2 N 3/3 [illegible] / Issued [illegible]

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

5A File No. 374 of 1907-08.

Serial No.	
3	To The Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury No 4439 of the 6th March 1908 — Enquires if there are any papers in his office throwing light in the matter of the transfer of Dasappa's Stipend to his nephew Marigappa in 1820 and if so (report to that) separate it may be furnished at an early date. D. No. 359). Reminder petition from Doddarangappa praying for favourable consideration of his claim
4	From the Officer in charge of the State Huzur Treasury — No 31 of the 25 March 1908 — Invites attention to paras 3, 4, 5, 6, 8, 9 & 10 & 12 of the memo forwarded with letter No 23 of the 14th December 1899 and forwards the Compilation of the history of Polegar whereby on it is gathered that "Dasappa died in 1818 (and) without any issue male or female"

Thus the contention of Doddarangappa (petr) claiming to be the Son of the late Dasappa seems to fail.

The report now furnished by the Officer in charge of the Huzur Treasury is clear on the point Submitted. The case of Rudrava's Succession to Stipend of her deceased husband as the guardian of her infant son is pending disposal

N 28/3

N 30/3 31/3/14

14) Dodda Rangappa's claims were urged in 1899 & the matter ended in a compromise whereby the Baravad Dars

GPB—50 000—17-3-07

Serial No.

privately agreed to pay 300 Rs a month on the whole to Dodda Rangappa.

There seems to be no reason to reopen the question now nor is it alleged that the other parties have not kept up the engagement.

The petn may be rejected

Dewan)

9296 2.6.08

2/6/1908

3095 1/6

Put up endorsement

Draft submitted

5

To. Dodda Rangappa

No. R.6682 & 13.6.08

Informs that Govt see no reason to reopen the question.


కాయలు
కృష్ణప్ప నాయుడు కాలం —
వాసప్ప యువరి
కాయిమప్పయ్య నాయిల
వెంకమ్మగారు —
సంతకవాళ్ళగుప్ప
యువని —
11
వెంకట్రంగప్ప —
16 పెంటుగారు
వరదింపు ప్ప యువరి
17 నాగరసనిపెళ్ళికూతురు
శివకప్ప యువరి
10
భాగన్నప్ప యువరి
23 బాగారుమూడలగ
శివమ్మప్ప యువరి —
26 చరాచరుగారు
కాల 29-1-908 ఆదివారం
కాల 26-11-907 సి
శుక్రవారం


4
6
7
8
13
14
20
24
25

ఉపకులపతి

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

10

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

9

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

8

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

7

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

6

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

5

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

4

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

3

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

2

ఉపకులపతి కార్యదర్శి

1

ನಂಬರು

ಸಿಗದಂತೆ

ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವು —

ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಸಿಗದಂತೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿದೆ

ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಸಿಗದಂತೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿದೆ

15 - 12 - 1874

24 - 10 - 1899ರಲ್ಲಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯವು ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣವಾಗಿ ಸಿಗದಂತೆ ಕಾಣುತ್ತಿದೆ

ಶ್ರೀ ಗುರುಭ್ಯೋ ನಮಃ

ಶ್ರೀ ಮಾಧ್ವಾಚಾರ್ಯ ಪ್ರಣೀತ

ಶ್ರೀ ಮದ್ಭಾಗವತ ತಾತ್ಪರ್ಯ ನಿರ್ಣಯ

ಪ್ರಥಮೋಧ್ಯಾಯ

ಶ್ರೀ ಮದಾನಂದತೀರ್ಥ ಭಗವತ್ಪಾದಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಶ್ರೀ ಮದ್ಭಾಗವತ ತಾತ್ಪರ್ಯ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಮಾಡಿಯು ಪ್ರಥ

ಮಾಧ್ವ

ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸೇವಕರಾಜ

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ತಮಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸುವುದೇನೆಂದರೆ ನಾನು ಕಳೆದ 16-3-98 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿಮ್ಮಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾಲವಾಗಿ ಪಡೆದ ರೂ. 10,000/- ಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಪತ್ರದೊಂದಿಗೆ ಮರಳಿಸಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಧನ್ಯವಾದಗಳು.

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ ವಿಶ್ವಾಸಿ

ಸೇವಕರಾಜ

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಂಪನಿಯ ವಿಳಾಸಕ್ಕೆ ಬರೆಯಿರಿ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯಲು ಬಯಸುವ ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ಮಾದರಿಯನ್ನು ಕೆಳಗೆ ಕೊಡಲಾಗಿದೆ. ಅದನ್ನು ಭರ್ತಿ ಮಾಡಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರಿ.

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವಕ್ಕಾಗಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ

ಪಾವತಿಸಬೇಕಾದ ಮೊತ್ತ	ಪಾವತಿಸಿದ ಮೊತ್ತ
೧೯೦	೧೯೦
೧೯೦	೧೯೦
೧೯೦	೧೯೦

ಸದಸ್ಯತ್ವ ಶುಲ್ಕ

ಪ್ರವೇಶ ಶುಲ್ಕ

ಒಟ್ಟು ಮೊತ್ತ

ಸಹಿ

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ಹೆಸರು

ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಯ ವಿಳಾಸ

ಸಹಿ

ಸಂಸ್ಥೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯದರ್ಶಿಯ ಸಹಿ

ಚಿತ್ರಕಲಾ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸದಸ್ಯರಾಗಲು ಸಿದ್ಧರಾಗಿರುವಿರಾ – 2 – 1998

[illegible]

[redacted]

0708 10

File No. 374
Serial No. 3

No. H 1443 G

6th March 08

General and Revenue Secretariat

.90 .

To

The Officer in charge of the
State Huzur Treasury.

Date Issue 6th March 08

Signe N C Venkat Ra

Sir

In forwarding herewith a petition from Dodramappa a claimant to a share in the Polegar Stipend of the Belgutti family and in advertance to your letter no 14 G M-1-09 dd I am directed to enquire if there are any papers in your office throwing light on the matter of the transfer of Dasappa's stipend to his nephew Narayappa in 1820 ~~the exclusion of Dodramappa~~

SDA. 374-07
87-no 14

State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore 25th March 1908

From

K. Krishna Iyer Esq
Khazana Bakshi
State Huzur Treasury
Bangalore

No: 31

Encl: 2 Books
Visitors Palace &
Genl: Post
1 file & Papers

To

C. S. Balasundram Iyer Esq. B. A
Offg: Secretary to the Governme
of His Highness the Maharaj
of Mysore
General and Revenue Dep

Sir

Adverting to your letter No: HLL43
dated 6th Instant, I have the honor to
P&A 374
invite your attention to Paras 3, 4, 6 & 9 /
nel 12 of my predecessors memo for
warded with his letter No: 23 dated 14
December 1899 and Government Proc: there
P. No: 90-91 dated 4th April 1900.

After a fuld enquiry it was foun
that Dod Ringappa the petitioner, hav
ailed to establish his claim to any
hare of the family pension, but he
greed to a Compromise by which h

obtained a monthly allowance of Rupees ten (10) only. This allowance has to be paid to him yearly.

Having agreed to such a compromise and having drawn his allowance all these years, he cannot now be allowed to reopen the question.

I forward herewith for favour perusal and kind return a copy the History of Bellegaro signed by the Chief Commissioner the Bowring which contains a genealogical tree of Bellegatty family showing, that Basappa (whom the petitioner Kadingappa Claims as his father) died 1818 and he that any issue male female.

I also forward herewith another History of Bellegaro Compiled about the year 1886 from which it appears that on the death of Basappa his pension has been renewed to his son-in-law Bramappa Kenchi. But on the genealogical tree it is evident

sister's Son and not mysson-in-law.
However in neither of these Documents
is there any mention made of the
Petitioner Dod Rungappa as a member
of the Belagutty family.

The enclosures to your letter also are
sent herewith

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obedient Servant

W Wilson
Head Assistant Collector
Salt Chowkey

MYSORE GOVT. GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT,
Dated, Bangalore, 12-6-1908

(5)

ENDORSEMENT GRANTED TO Pollegar Dodda Rangappa son of Pollegar Dasappah, residing at Belaguthi, Honnali Taluk

in reply to his Petition Registered No. 3069 dated the 17th February 1908, praying that the pension of Rs. 63-8-10 drawn by the deceased Pollegar Gopalsamii may be equitably redistributed, or he may be granted his father Dasakkah's pension

been urged in 1899 and the matter having ended in a compromise whereby the Baravardar privately agreed to pay him a certain sum per ~~Rs. 10 of a month on the whole~~ monthly, the Government of His Highness the Maharajah see no reason to reopen the question now. and accordingly reject his prayer, as ~~inadmissible~~